JDDL

Of Facts In Support Of Motion For Summary Judgement" (Doc. 6).

In the Court's Order filed January 25, 2011 (Doc. 4), Plaintiff was informed that his first amended complaint must be retyped or rewritten in its entirety on the court-approved form and may not incorporate any part of the original Complaint by reference. However, Plaintiff has ignored the Court's directive and not filed a first amended complaint on a court-approved form. Moreover, even if the Court were to construe Plaintiff's "First Amended Notice Of Motion For Summary Judgement In Lieu Of Complaint" (Doc. 5) as a first amended complaint, it would still not comply with the Court's Order because it is not on the court-approved complaint form and by and large Plaintiff has done nothing more than relabel his original Complaint as a "First Amended Notice Of Motion For Summary Judgement In Lieu Of Complaint."

II. Dismissal of Action Without Notice

The Court's January 25, 2011 Order specifically cautioned Plaintiff that if he failed to timely comply with every provision of the Order, including the warnings, the Court may dismiss this action without further notice. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258 (9th Cir.) (a district court may dismiss an action for failure to comply with any order of the Court). The Court's Order also warned that Plaintiff that if he failed to use the court-approved form, the Court may strike the amended complaint and dismiss this action without further notice to Plaintiff.

Because Plaintiff has utterly failed to comply with the Court's January 25, 2011 Order (Doc. 4), the Court will dismiss this action pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to comply with the Court's Order and will direct the Clerk of Court to enter judgment accordingly. In light of the dismissal of this action, Plaintiff's "First Amended Notice Of Motion For Summary Judgement In Lieu Of Complaint" (Doc. 5) will be denied as moot.

IT IS ORDERED:

(1) Plaintiff's "First Amended Notice Of Motion For Summary Judgement In Lieu Of Complaint" (Doc. 5) is **denied as moot**.

1	(2)	This action is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the
2	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to comply with the Court's Order filed	
3	January 25, 2011 (Doc. 4).	
4	(3)	The Clerk of Court must enter judgment accordingly and close this case.
5	(4)	The docket shall reflect that the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
6	§ 1915(a)(3	3) and Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 24(a)(3)(A), that any appeal of this
7	decision would not be taken in good faith.	
8	DA	ΓED this 19 th day of April, 2011.
9		
10		Quillion
11		James A. Teilborg / United States District Judge
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

- 3 -

JDDL

Case 2:10-cv-02794-JAT--ECV Document 7 Filed 04/19/11 Page 3 of 3